CERN-TH-2017-045

On the Octonionic Self Duality equations of 3-brane Instantons

Emmanuel Floratos [a,b,c] [1] and George K. Leontaris[c,d] [2]

[a] *Institute of Nuclear Physics, NRCS Demokritos, Athens, Greece*

[b] *Department of Physics, University of Athens, Athens, Greece*

[c] *Theory Department, CERN, CH-1211, Geneva 23, Switzerland*

[d] *Physics Department, Theory Division, Ioannina University, GR-45110 Ioannina, Greece*

arXiv:1702.08063v1 [hep-th] 26 Feb 2017

Abstract

We study the octonionic selfduality equations for $p = 3$-branes in the light cone gauge and we construct explicitly, instanton solutions for spherical and toroidal topologies in various flat spacetime dimensions ($D = 5+1, 7+1, 8+1, 9+1$), extending previous results for $p = 2$ membranes. Assuming factorization of time we reduce the self-duality equations to integrable systems and we determine explicitly periodic, in Euclidean time, solutions in terms of the elliptic functions. These solutions describe 4d associative and non-associative calibrations in $D = 7, 8$ dimensions. It turns out that for spherical topology the calibration is non compact while for the toroidal topology is compact. We discuss possible applications of our results to the problem of 3-brane topology change and its implications for a non-perturbative definition of the 3-brane interactions.

[1] E-mail: mflorato@phys.uoa.gr

[2] E-mail: leonta@uoi.gr

1 Introduction

The tremendous progress of understanding of perturbative superstring theory as well as its duality symmetries in various spacetime backgrounds [1] has led to a well substantiated proposal of M theory, the unifying theory of all superstring theories [2]-[9]. The new objects contained in M-theory which are solitonic gravitational back-reactions of various D-branes, are the M2 and M5-branes. These objects were expected naturally from the eleven dimensional (11d) supergravity and, in this framework, they are considered as fundamental as the strings are for the various 10d supergravities. The basic obstacle in understanding these objects as fundamentals, lies in the absence of a coupling constant and the consequent problem of the definition of their self-interactions.

An interesting proposal to define the self-interactions of the branes is to use their Euclidean instantons to interpolate between vacua (asymptotic states) with different number of branes [10]. The simplest ones could be instantons interpolating between states of one and two branes. The study of the simplest such instantons is already a difficult problem but one hopes that finding explicit solutions and trying to understand their moduli space would be and interesting approach. The classification of all such instantons and the geometry of their moduli space is probably beyond the present day capabilities.

Almost three decades ago the problem of determining the corresponding self-duality equations for membranes has been solved in the case of $2+1$ dimensional embedding spacetime in ref [11] and in the case of $4+1$ in ref [12]. In the latter, using results from the study of Nahm equations [13] for Yang-Mills (YM) monopoles it was shown that the self-duality equations form an integrable model and its Lax pair and conserved quantities were determined. An important work by Ward [14] clarified further the situation reducing the self-duality equations to Laplace equation in 3d flat space, for the time function of the surface. This function is a level set function -as it is called in Morse theory- and the problem of determining topology changing membrane instantons is reduced into the search for non-trivial (multi-valued) time functions.

Recent progress in this direction has been made in the works [15, 16]. The classification of self-duality (SD) equations in various dimensions has shown that the possible SD equations are determined by the existence of cross products of vectors which in turn is related to the four division algebras [17]. Apart from the trivial cases of branes of dimension p embedded in $p+1$ space dimensions, the interesting cases concern the $p=2$ brane (membrane) and the $p=3$ brane.

In references [18] and [19] the study of membrane instanton equations in higher dimensions has provided some insight in the difficulty of the problem and certain explicit solutions have been obtained (see also references [20]-[25]). In the present work we extend the study to the case of 3-branes in $d=8$ spatial dimensions using the octonionic cross product for three 8-dimensional vectors. We obtain a convenient form of a four complex set for the SD equations which enable us to reduce the SD equations in six dimensions.

The SD equations imply the Euclidean second order equations for the 3-branes and satisfy automatically the Gauss Law of volume preserving diffeomorphisms symmetry of the theory. In the case of external fluxes in the theory such as the one coming from *pp*-wave supersymmetric gravitational backgrounds, it is possible to redefine the time and to reduce the SD equations to the case of flat background, and find explicit solutions for the 3-sphere and the 3-torus. In the case of the sphere the instantons are periodic in Euclidean time going from a finite radius to infinity and back in finite time, while in the case of the three torus the periodic solution interpolates between finite radii.

The layout of this paper is as follows. In section 2 we review the Hamiltonian formalism and the Equations of Motion (EoM) for 3-branes in the light cone gauge and in flat spacetime, as well as, the corresponding Gauss Law. We discuss the symmetry in this gauge which is the volume preserving diffeomorphisms of the 3-brane and which gives the possibility to describe the 3-brane as an incompressible fluid. In sections 3 and 4, we derive the first order self-duality equations in eight dimensions and its various lower dimensional reductions and we present them in a very useful and suggestive complex form in four-dimensional complex space. We show that these equation imply the second order equations and the Gauss Law in Euclidean signature spacetime. In section 5, assuming factorization of time, we solve analytically the 3-brane self-duality equations for the case of spherical (S^3) and toroidal (T^3) topology of the brane. Finally, in section 6 we present our conclusions and discuss the application of our methods to the issue of topology change of three branes, a problem which is relevant to cosmological brane models.

2 S^3 and T^3 branes in the light-cone gauge

In this section we briefly present the Hamiltonian system in terms of the Nambu 3-brackets. For the S^3 brane in $9+1$ Minkowski spacetime the Hamiltonian in the light-cone gauge is

$$\mathcal{H} = \frac{T_3}{2}\int d\Omega_3 \left(\dot{X}_i^2 + \frac{1}{3!}\{X_i, X_j, X_k\}^2\right) \tag{1}$$

where the indices run the $1, 2, \ldots, 8$ transverse dimensions. The corresponding EoM are

$$\ddot{X}_i = \frac{1}{2}\{\{X_i, X_j, X_k\}, X_j, X_k\} \ , \tag{2}$$

and the Gauss Law takes the form

$$\{\dot{X}_i, X_i\}_{\xi_\alpha, \xi_\beta} = 0, \ \alpha, \beta = \theta_{1,2,3}, \ \alpha \neq \beta$$

The volume element is

$$d\Omega_3 = \sin\theta_2 \sin^2\theta_3 d\theta_1 d\theta_2 d\theta_3 \ , \tag{3}$$

and the Nabu 3-bracket for S^3 is defined as follows

$$\{X_i, X_j, X_k\} = \frac{1}{\sin\theta_2 \sin^2\theta_3}\epsilon^{\alpha\beta\gamma}\partial_\alpha X_i \partial_\beta X_j \partial_\gamma X_k \ . \tag{4}$$

For S^3 there are four functions, namely the polar coordinates of the unit four-vectors,

$$e_1 = \cos\theta_1 \sin\theta_2 \sin\theta_3\,,\ e_2 = \sin\theta_1 \sin\theta_2 \sin\theta_3\,,\ e_3 = \cos\theta_2 \sin\theta_3\,,\ e_4 = \cos\theta_3\ . \tag{5}$$

They satisfy the $SO(4)$ relations

$$\{e_a, e_b, e_c\} = \epsilon^{abcd} e_d, \tag{6}$$

where the indices take the values $1, 2, 3, 4$. These equations are instrumental for the factorization of the time from the internal coordinates of the 3-brane.

It is possible to write down explicitly the infinite dimensional algebra of the volume preserving diffeomorphisms S^3,

$$\{Y_\alpha, Y_\beta, Y_\gamma\} \ = \ f^\delta_{\alpha\beta\gamma} Y_\delta\,, \tag{7}$$

using as a basis the hyper-spherical harmonics

$$Y_\alpha(\Omega) \ = \ Y_{nlm}(\theta_1, \theta_2, \theta_3),\ \alpha = (nlm),\ m = -l, \ldots, l,\ l = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, n-1\,. \tag{8}$$

The structure constants $f^\delta_{\alpha\beta\gamma}$ can be expressed in terms of the 6-j symbols of $SU(2)$ since $SO(4) \simeq SU(2) \times SU(2)$.

When the brane has the toroidal topology T^3, the global symmetries are $U(1) \times U(1) \times U(1)$ and three translations along the cycles of the torus. The basis of functions on T^3 is taken to be

$$e_{\vec{n}} = e^{i\vec{n}\cdot\vec{\xi}},\ \vec{\xi} \in [0, 2\pi]^3,\ \vec{n} = (n_1, n_2, n_3) \in \mathbb{Z}\ . \tag{9}$$

This basis defines an infinite dimensional volume preserving diffeomorphism group of the torus through the Nabu-Lie 3-algebra

$$\{e_{\vec{n}_1}, e_{\vec{n}_2}, e_{\vec{n}_3}\} = -i \det(\vec{n}_1, \vec{n}_2, \vec{n}_3)\, e_{\vec{n}_1 + \vec{n}_2 + \vec{n}_3}\ . \tag{10}$$

It is important to notice that $\forall A \in SL_3(\mathbb{Z})$ the above algebra remains invariant under the transformations $\vec{n} \to A\vec{n}$. Thus, for this 3-dimensional brane there is an important discrete symmetry, namely the 3-dimensional modular group $SL_3(\mathbb{Z})$ which could have implications in the quantum mechanical spectrum of this object. The EoM for the 3-torus are formally the same as in S^3 but it is much more convenient to complexify the eight transverse coordinates to four complex ones as we shall see later in the next section.

3 Self-Duality and Octonions

It is known that p-fold, n-dimensional vector cross products are defined only in the following cases

$$\begin{aligned} \text{any } p = 1, 2, 3, \ldots \qquad & n = p + 1 \\ p = 2 \qquad & n = 7 \\ p = 3 \qquad & n = 7 \\ p = 3 \qquad & n = 8\,. \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

In the above cases, for any set of p vectors, $v \in \mathbb{R}^n$, their p-fold cross product, which we denote by $\Pi_p(v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_p)$, is a linear map which satisfies the following properties

$$\Pi_p(v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_p) \cdot v_k \;\; = \;\; 0, \; k = 1, 2, 3, \ldots, p \,, \tag{12}$$

while the norm of the cross product satisfies the important property,

$$|\Pi_p(v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_p)|^2 \;\; = \;\; \det(v_i \cdot v_j) \; \cdot \tag{13}$$

In the present work we will focus on the last case $p = 3, n = 8$ of (11) since the other cases can be obtained by appropriate reductions to lower dimensions. Now, we proceed to derive the light-cone self-duality equations for 3-branes living in eight transverse flat dimensions. We note in passing that it is possible after toroidal compactification to obtain interesting equations for charged 3-branes in lower dimensions.

It is easy to observe that the potential energy term of the Hamiltonian (1) can be rewritten in terms of the determinant of the induced metric

$$\det\left(\partial_\alpha X_i \partial_\beta X_i\right) = \frac{1}{3!} \sum_{i,j,k=1}^{8} \{X_i, X_j, X_k\}^2 \,.$$

The cross product of three 8-dimensional vectors of case (11) is explicitly given as [17]

$$\Pi_i(v_1, v_2, v_3) \; := \; \phi_{ijkl} v_1^j v_2^k v_3^l, \;\; i, j, k, l = 1, 2, \cdots 8 \; \cdot \tag{14}$$

where the definition of ϕ_{ijkl} and conventions used in the paper are given in the appendix. Setting $v_\alpha^i = \partial_\alpha X_i$ where $\alpha = 1, 2, 3$ and $i = 1, 2, \ldots, 8$, we find that the potential energy of the 3-brane is the norm squared of the above defined cross product of its tangent vectors (see eq (13)). Another way to see that is to use directly the identity (61) given in the appendix for the tensor ϕ_{ijkl}. It is obvious now that the Hamiltonian (1) of the 3-brane, can be written as

$$\mathcal{H} = \frac{T_3}{2} \int d\Omega_3 \left(\dot{X}_i^2 + \frac{1}{3!} \{X_i, X_j, X_k\}^2 \right) \equiv \frac{T_3}{2} \int d\Omega_3 \left(\dot{X}_k - i\Pi_k \right) \left(\dot{X}_k + i\Pi_k \right) \,, \tag{15}$$

where $i, j, k = 1, 2, \ldots, 8$ and

$$\Pi_k \equiv \Pi_k(\partial_1 X, \partial_2 X, \partial_3 X) \,.$$

For vacuum configurations, $\mathcal{H} = 0$, and in Euclidean time we find the self-duality equations

$$\dot{X}_i = \pm \frac{1}{3!} \phi_{ijkl} \{X_j, X_k, X_l\} \; \cdot \tag{16}$$

4 The 3-brane instantons in various Dimensions

There is a natural generalization of the self-duality equations in 8 dimensions in terms of the symbol ϕ_{ijkl},

$$\dot{X}_i = \frac{1}{3!} \phi_{ijkl} \{X_j, X_k, X_l\} \,. \tag{17}$$

where now the indices i, j, k, l run for 1 to 8. For convenience, we introduce the shorthand notation

$$X_{ijk} \equiv \{X_i, X_j, X_k\} \tag{18}$$

where the indices run from 1 to 8. We know however that the same equation exists in seven dimensions that is, $i, j, k, l = 1, 2, \ldots 7$, because of the existence of the cross product $p = 3, n = 7$ given in section 3. Then, employing the definition of ϕ_{ijkl} given in the appendix, we find that eq (17) implies the following eight non-linear (first order) differential equations

$$\begin{aligned}
\dot{X}_1 &= X_{823} + X_{865} + X_{847} + X_{735} + X_{762} + X_{524} + X_{346} \\
\dot{X}_2 &= X_{183} + X_{684} + X_{857} + X_{743} + X_{167} + X_{635} + X_{154} \\
\dot{X}_3 &= X_{812} + X_{485} + X_{867} + X_{472} + X_{751} + X_{265} + X_{416} \\
\dot{X}_4 &= X_{862} + X_{835} + X_{871} + X_{567} + X_{732} + X_{512} + X_{136} \\
\dot{X}_5 &= X_{816} + X_{845} + X_{872} + X_{647} + X_{371} + X_{623} + X_{146} \\
\dot{X}_6 &= X_{851} + X_{824} + X_{873} + X_{457} + X_{172} + X_{325} + X_{314} \\
\dot{X}_7 &= X_{814} + X_{836} + X_{825} + X_{546} + X_{531} + X_{432} + X_{612} \\
\dot{X}_8 &= X_{321} + X_{615} + X_{642} + X_{534} + X_{174} + X_{376} + X_{275} \cdot
\end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

We notice that the self-duality equations of 3-branes in seven dimensions mentioned before, are simply obtained from the above system, by eliminating the last equation and the terms containing the index 8 on the right-hand side of the remaining seven equations.

Next, we proceed to the complexification of the general system (19) by choosing specific pairing of the 8 real coordinates, as follows

$$Z_1 = X_1 + iX_4,\ Z_2 = X_2 + iX_5,\ Z_3 = X_3 + iX_6,\ Z_4 = X_8 + iX_7 \cdot \tag{20}$$

After some elaborate manipulation of the original system of equations, we arrive at the following simple form

$$\dot{Z}_1 = -\frac{1}{2}\{Z_1, Z_k, \bar{Z}_k\} + \{\bar{Z}_2, \bar{Z}_3, \bar{Z}_4\} \tag{21}$$

$$\dot{Z}_2 = -\frac{1}{2}\{Z_2, Z_k, \bar{Z}_k\} + \{\bar{Z}_3, \bar{Z}_4, \bar{Z}_1\} \tag{22}$$

$$\dot{Z}_3 = -\frac{1}{2}\{Z_3, Z_k, \bar{Z}_k\} + \{\bar{Z}_4, \bar{Z}_1, \bar{Z}_2\} \tag{23}$$

$$\dot{Z}_4 = -\frac{1}{2}\{Z_4, Z_k, \bar{Z}_k\} + \{\bar{Z}_1, \bar{Z}_2, \bar{Z}_3\} \tag{24}$$

We observe that the equations (21-24) have an $SU(4)$ symmetry acting on the complex vector (Z_1, Z_2, Z_3, Z_4). It is possible from the four complex equations to obtain various interesting reductions to lower dimensions. For example, if we demand that $Z_k, k = 1, 2, 3, 4$ are real (i.e., $X_4 = X_5 = X_6 = X_7 = 0$), or if Z_k are all pure imaginary, that $X_1 = X_2 = X_3 = X_8 = 0$, then we obtain the self-duality equation of three branes in four real dimensions

$$\dot{Y}_i = \frac{1}{3!}\epsilon_{ijkl}\{Y_j, Y_k, Y_l\}\ , \tag{25}$$

where Y_k represent the non-zero coordinates of Z_k for each of the above two cases.

A more detailed study of toroidal compactifications and double dimension reduction to lower dimensional branes, as well as their relation to extended continuous Toda systems, will be discussed in a forthcoming work [26].

5 Explicit solutions for 3-brane instantons in eight dimensions

We now study the solutions of the four complex non-linear differential equations (21-24). This system can be considerably simplified if we seek solutions with time factorization

$$Z_a = \zeta_a(t) f_a(\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_3)\,. \tag{26}$$

In the subsequent analysis, we work out the cases of spherical and toroidal topology.

5.1 Spherical Topology

For spherical topology of the closed 3-brane, S^3, we choose the functions f_a to be $f(a) = e_a, a = 1, 2, 3, 4$, where e_a are the polar coordinates of unit 4-vectors (5) in R^4.

In this factorization, the first term of the right-hand side of the complex equations (21-24) is proportional to $\{e_i, e_k, e_k\}$ which is identically zero. The simplified equations now read

$$\dot{\zeta}_1 = \bar{\zeta}_2\bar{\zeta}_3\bar{\zeta}_4 \tag{27}$$
$$\dot{\zeta}_2 = \bar{\zeta}_3\bar{\zeta}_4\bar{\zeta}_1 \tag{28}$$
$$\dot{\zeta}_3 = \bar{\zeta}_4\bar{\zeta}_1\bar{\zeta}_2 \tag{29}$$
$$\dot{\zeta}_4 = \bar{\zeta}_1\bar{\zeta}_2\bar{\zeta}_3\,. \tag{30}$$

We notice that the equations are invariant under a global $U(1)$ symmetry $\zeta_k \to e^{iq_k}\zeta_k$ with $\sum_{k=1}^4 q_k = 0$.

In the following, we consider the conjugate equations and form the four combinations

$$Q_k = \frac{i}{2}\left(\bar{\zeta}_k\dot{\zeta}_k - \dot{\bar{\zeta}}_k\zeta_k\right) = \frac{i}{2}\left(\bar{\zeta}_1\bar{\zeta}_2\bar{\zeta}_3\bar{\zeta}_4 - \zeta_1\zeta_2\zeta_3\zeta_4\right)\ ,$$

for $k = 1, 2, 3, 4$. Assuming polar form

$$\zeta_k = r_k(t)e^{i\phi_k(t)}\ , \tag{31}$$

we find

$$r_k^2\dot{\phi}_k = Q\ , \tag{32}$$

where Q is a conserved quantity

$$Q = -r_1r_2r_3r_4\sin\phi,\ \phi = \sum_{i=1}^4 \phi_i\,. \tag{33}$$



Figure 1: The 'potential' for various values of the radii, $Q = 0$ (left) and $Q = Q_0 \neq 0$ (right)

Furthermore, subtraction for two different values of k gives three new constants of motion

$$|\zeta_k|^2 - |\zeta_l|^2 = c_{kl} \ , \tag{34}$$

which are the analogue of Euler's equations for the rigid body. Substituting (31) in the sums, $\bar{\zeta}_k\dot{\zeta}_k + \dot{\bar{\zeta}}_k\zeta_k$ we observe

$$\frac{dr_k^2}{dt} = 2r_1r_2r_3r_4\cos\phi \ . \tag{35}$$

For $r_1^2 = s$, using the conservation laws we write this equation as follows

$$\dot{s} = \sqrt{s(s-a)(s-b)(s-c) - Q^2} \ . \tag{36}$$

This equation can be solved using standard elliptic functions. Depending on the initial conditions, we divide the solutions into two classes: those with $Q = 0$ where there is no rotation, and those with $Q \neq 0$ where we have rotating instantons. In figure 1 we plot the 'effective potential' (i.e. the square of the right-hand side of the differential equation) for $Q = 0$ and $Q \neq 0$. In the first case (left plot) we show two admissible cases. The upper curve stands for four distinct real roots (radii), and the second curve corresponds to a double root, i.e., when two radii are equal. Curves below this one do not cross the real axis and correspond to complex radii which is not admissible. In the second plot, we fix the value of $Q = Q_0$ and draw three characteristic cases.

First, we consider the case $Q = 0$ and the possibility of static solutions, $\dot{s} = 0$. If one of the radii is zero ($s = a, s = b, s = c$ or $s = 0$), then we obtain static solutions living in the other six dimensions. In general, we obtain a solution in terms of the elliptic integral of the first kind $F(\phi|m)$. In particular, the positivity of all roots requires $s \geq \max(a, b, c)$. Assuming $a > b > c > 0$ while taking $s = a$ at the initial time $t = t_0$, integration of (36) gives

$$t - t_0 = \frac{2}{\sqrt{c(a-b)}}\left(iK\left(\frac{b(a-c)}{c(a-b)}\right) - F\left(\sin^{-1}\left(\sqrt{\frac{(a-b)(s-c)}{(b-c)(a-s)}}\right)|\frac{a(c-b)}{c(a-b)}\right)\right) \ . \tag{37}$$

In order to find $s(t)$ we need the inverse of the elliptic integral of the first kind $F(\phi|k) = \int_0^{\sin\phi}\frac{ds}{\sqrt{(1-ks^2)(1-s^2)}}$ which is given by the Jacobi Amplitude $t = F(\phi|k) \to \phi = F^{-1}(t,k) = \mathrm{am}(t,k)$. Furthermore, in order to absorb unimportant factors, we redefine time $t = \frac{2}{\sqrt{c(a-b)}}t'$



Figure 2: Left: In the case of spherical topology, the radius goes to infinity at finite time. Right: plot of $\hat{s}(t) = \frac{s(t)}{a}$ for $Q = 0$ and a double root $c = b < a$ for the values $x \equiv \frac{b}{a} = \frac{1}{10}, \frac{1}{5}, \frac{1}{3}$ in the case of spherical topology.

and make the definitions

$$k = \frac{a(c-b)}{c(a-b)} \tag{38}$$

$$\sigma = \sqrt{\frac{(a-b)(c-s)}{(c-b)(a-s)}} = \sin\left(\mathrm{am}(t,k)\right) \ , \tag{39}$$

(also written in the literature as $sn(t,k)$) and finally solve (39) for $s(t)$. In figure 2 (left side) we plot time as a function of $s = r^2$ for $a = 1$ and three sets of b, c values. In all cases, the radius goes to infinity at finite time.

For the sake of simplicity, we exemplify the above for the case of a double root $b = c < a$, i.e, when two of the radii are equal. Then

$$\int_a^s \frac{du}{\sqrt{u(u-a)}(u-b)} = \frac{2}{\sqrt{b(a-b)}}\left(\frac{\pi}{2} - \tan^{-1}\left(\sqrt{\frac{s(a-b)}{b(s-a)}}\right)\right) \ . \tag{40}$$

If we define $x = \frac{b}{a} < 1$, a new time $\frac{at}{\pi} \to t$, and

$$q(x,t) = x\tan^2\left(\frac{1}{2}\pi\left(1 - t\sqrt{(1-x)x}\right)\right) \ ,$$

we obtain

$$\hat{s}(t) \equiv \frac{s(t)}{a} = \frac{q(x,t)}{x - 1 + q(x,t)} \ . \tag{41}$$

This takes its minimum value for $\hat{s}(0) = 1$ and grows rapidly to infinity in finite time, at the zeros of the denominator. A few representative cases are plotted in the right side of figure 2. The case $Q \neq 0$ can be separated into two classes: first, pure uniform rotational motion with time independent radii (Euler tops), and second, when we have both rotation and bounce motion. In the second class we consider three different cases according to the initial conditions for the radii and the value of Q. Without loss of generality we can order the initial values of the radii in degreasing magnitude, that is $r_1 > r_2 > r_3 > r_4$, which implies $a > b > c > 0$. The solution can be written in terms of the elliptic integral of the first kind, once we use the four roots e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4

which are algebraic functions of a, b, c and Q. From the geometry of the potential plot, we see that when $Q = 0$, $e_1 = 0, e_2 = c, e_3 = b, e_4 = a$. When $Q \neq 0$ but smaller than a critical value, Q_c, the root e_1 is negative, e_2 and e_4 increase while e_3 decreases. When $Q = Q_c$, two roots become equal, $e_2 = e_3$, and the potential has a local maximum $V(Q_c) = 0$.

5.2 Toroidal Topology

For the case of toroidal topology, we define

$$Z_a = \zeta_a(t) e^{\vec{n}_a \cdot \vec{\xi}} \, . \tag{42}$$

The four vectors $\vec{n}_a \in \mathbb{Z}^3$ are not completely arbitrary. When we substitute (42) into the system of the four complex equations (27-30) we find that the above Ansatz provides a solution only if

$$\vec{n}_1 + \vec{n}_2 + \vec{n}_3 + \vec{n}_4 = \vec{0} \cdot \tag{43}$$

Then we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\dot{\zeta}_1 &= +i\, n \bar{\zeta}_2 \bar{\zeta}_3 \bar{\zeta}_4 && (44)\\
\dot{\zeta}_2 &= -i\, n \bar{\zeta}_3 \bar{\zeta}_4 \bar{\zeta}_1 && (45)\\
\dot{\zeta}_3 &= +i\, \bar{\zeta}_4 \bar{\zeta}_1 \bar{\zeta}_2 && (46)\\
\dot{\zeta}_4 &= -i\, \bar{\zeta}_1 \bar{\zeta}_2 \bar{\zeta}_3 \, , && (47)
\end{aligned}$$

where n stands for the determinant

$$n = \det(\vec{n}_j, \vec{n}_k, \vec{n}_l) \, . \tag{48}$$

From these and their complex conjugates we readily get,

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{d}{dt}|\zeta_1|^2 = \frac{d}{dt}|\zeta_3|^2 &= +in(\bar{\zeta}_1 \bar{\zeta}_2 \bar{\zeta}_3 \bar{\zeta}_4 - \zeta_1 \zeta_2 \zeta_3 \zeta_4) && (49)\\
\frac{d}{dt}|\zeta_2|^2 = \frac{d}{dt}|\zeta_4|^2 &= -in(\bar{\zeta}_1 \bar{\zeta}_2 \bar{\zeta}_3 \bar{\zeta}_4 - \zeta_1 \zeta_2 \zeta_3 \zeta_4) \cdot && (50)
\end{aligned}$$

Subtraction and addition of the appropriate equations gives

$$\begin{aligned}
|\zeta_1|^2 - |\zeta_3|^2 &= c_{13} && (51)\\
|\zeta_2|^2 - |\zeta_4|^2 &= c_{24} && (52)\\
|\zeta_1|^2 + |\zeta_2|^2 &= c_{12} && (53)\\
|\zeta_3|^2 + |\zeta_4|^2 &= c_{24} \cdot && (54)
\end{aligned}$$

We define the currents

$$Q_a = -\frac{1}{2}\left(\dot{\zeta}_a \bar{\zeta}_a - \dot{\bar{\zeta}}_a \zeta_a\right) \, , \tag{55}$$

and find that

$$Q_1 = Q_3 = Q \equiv \frac{n}{2}\left(\bar{J} + J\right) , \; Q_2 = Q_4 = -Q = -\frac{n}{2}\left(\bar{J} + J\right) \tag{56}$$



Figure 3: Time vs radius squared $s = r_1^2$ for $a > c > b$ and three characteristic Q values, $Q = 0$, $Q < 0$ and the critical value $Q = Q_c$ for toroidal topology.

where $J = \zeta_1\zeta_2\zeta_3\zeta_4$ and $\bar{J}$ its complex conjugate. We observe now that $\dot{\bar{J}} = -\dot{J}$, which implies

$$\frac{dQ}{dt} = \frac{n}{2}\frac{d}{dt}(\bar{J} + J) = 0\,,$$

so that the charge Q is conserved. Writing $\zeta_k = r_k e^{i\phi_k}$, we find that $Q = r_1 r_2 r_3 r_4 \cos\phi$. Furthermore, using the redefinitions $Q' = Q/n$ and $t' = 2nt$, while taking $r_1^2 = s$, we obtain the differential equation

$$\frac{ds}{dt} = \sqrt{s(a-s)(s-b)(c-s) - Q^2}\,, \tag{57}$$

with $a = c_{12}, b = c_{13}, c = c_{13} + c_{34}$. This is exactly the same equation as in the case of spherical topology, but notice that r_k are bounded form equations (51-54).

We note that with the same method it is also possible to solve the four-dimensional 3-brane self-duality equations presented before, where we shall end up with the real system in the place of equations (27-30). In this case, the system of equations is the elegant integral system of refs [24, 25].

The solution for the case of toroidal topology is expressed for both cases $Q = 0$ and $Q \neq 0$ by the same elliptic integral as in the spherical topology, but from the conservation laws we find the interesting result that the motion is oscillatory and bounded and so, the 4-dimensional manifold is compact. This is contrary to the case of spherical topology where we have always non-compact world-volumes. Since this Euclidean world volumes are calibrations of the $(8+1)$-dimensional embedding spacetime, our solution is among the first examples of compact non-associative (octonionic) calibrations.

Indeed, ordering the four radii in decreasing order $r_{k+1} < r_k$, inspection of the equations (51-54) shows that the constants a, b, c, d must satisfy $b < a < c$ with a, c positive while the variable s is bounded between a and b, where now $b < s < a < c$. In figure 3, the potential is plotted for three characteristic values of Q. The time evolution of the four radii, is also shown in figure 4. As already emphasized, all four radii are bounded and interpolate between a minimum and a maximum value.



Figure 4: The plot shows the time evolution of the four radii, for the case $Q = 0$ and the sets $1, 1/5, 3/5$. Notice that all of the radii are bounded.

6 Conclusions and Open Questions

The present work provides a method for solutions of the self-duality equations for 3-branes in higher dimensions. The factorization of time exploits the finite sub-algebras of the volume preserving diffeomorphisms and reduces the SD equations to well known integrable systems with explicit solutions in terms of the standard elliptic functions. The new result is that the 3-sphere instanton interpolates between flat space-time at infinity and a finite radii 3-sphere. This is similar to the Einstein-Rosen wormhole solutions of General Relativity. The minimization of the Euclidean 4-volume in 8-dimensions, which is the origin of the SD equations, classifies their solutions as calibrations of the background geometry. For the sphere this calibration is non-compact while for the 3-torus the calibration is compact [27]. It is possible to solve with the same method SD equations in maximally supersymmetric geometry backgrounds such as *pp*-waves with fluxes in $8+1$ spacetime dimensions by redefining the time variable [28], a trick which has also a physical implication in the interpretation of the time as the group renormalization scale and the SD equations as flow equations between various geometries [3]. It would be interesting to apply similar ideas with those of ref [15] to transform the nonlinear three-brane SD equations into a linear Laplace equation problem for $8+1$ space time dimensions to study possible topology changes [26]. Another interesting direction is to abandon the factorization of time and try to solve the three-brane SD equations in $8 + 1$ dimensions requiring axial symmetry and proceed in analogy with the study of real time BPS states of 3-branes.

Acknowledgments. The authors would like to thank CERN theory division for their kind hospitality and the stimulating atmosphere during which the main part of this work was realized. EGF would like to thank also the theory department of ENS in Paris for their kind hospitality and stimulating atmosphere during which the last parts of this paper were finished.

[3] We thank Costas Bachas for this observation.

A The octonionic structure constants

The structure constants of the octonionic multiplications Ψ_{ijk} and its dual ϕ_{ijkl}, which measures the non-associativity of octonions, are given by (for a textbook, see for example [29])

$$\Psi_{ijk} = \begin{cases} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 \\ 2 & 4 & 6 & 3 & 7 & 5 & 1 \\ 3 & 6 & 7 & 5 & 2 & 1 & 4 \end{cases} \tag{58}$$

$$\phi_{ijkl} = \begin{cases} 4 & 3 & 5 & 6 & 1 & 7 & 2 \\ 5 & 7 & 2 & 1 & 3 & 4 & 6 \\ 6 & 5 & 1 & 7 & 4 & 2 & 3 \\ 7 & 1 & 4 & 2 & 6 & 3 & 5 \end{cases} \tag{59}$$

Below, we provide the simplest identities between these tensors used in our computations and by summing more pairs of indices we may obtain additional ones.

When two indices are summed, a useful multiplication rule connecting the two symbols is

$$\Psi_{ijk}\Psi_{lmk} = \delta_{il}\delta_{jm} - \delta_{im}\delta_{jl} + \phi_{ijlm} \tag{60}$$

The corresponding identity for the ϕ_{ijkl} symbols is written as follows [17]

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_{abcl}\phi_{ijkl} &= (\delta_{ai}\delta_{bj} - \delta_{aj}\delta_{bi})\,\delta_{ck} \\ &+ (\delta_{bi}\delta_{cj} - \delta_{bj}\delta_{ci})\,\delta_{ak} \\ &+ (\delta_{ci}\delta_{aj} - \delta_{cj}\delta_{ai})\,\delta_{bk} \\ &+ \phi_{abij}\,\delta_{ck} + \phi_{bcij}\,\delta_{ak} + \phi_{caij}\,\delta_{bk} \\ &+ \phi_{abjk}\,\delta_{ci} + \phi_{bcjk}\delta_{ai} + \phi_{cajk}\,\delta_{bi} \\ &+ \phi_{abki}\,\delta_{cj} + \phi_{bcki}\,\delta_{aj} + \phi_{caki}\,\delta_{bj}\ . \end{aligned} \tag{61}$$

References

[1] Polchinski, Joseph, String Theory, Vol. 1 and 2, (Cambridge Monographs on Mathematical Physics)

[2] M. J. Duff, P. S. Howe, T. Inami and K. S. Stelle, Phys. Lett. B **191** (1987) 70. doi:10.1016/0370-2693(87)91323-2

[3] T. Kugo and P. K. Townsend, Nucl. Phys. B **221** (1983) 357. doi:10.1016/0550-3213(83)90584-9

[4] E. Witten, Nucl. Phys. B **443** (1995) 85 doi:10.1016/0550-3213(95)00158-O [hep-th/9503124].

[5] M. J. Duff and J. X. Lu, Phys. Lett. B **273** (1991) 409. doi:10.1016/0370-2693(91)90290-7

[6] M. Cvetic, H. Lu and C. N. Pope, Nucl. Phys. B **644** (2002) 65 doi:10.1016/S0550-3213(02)00792-7 [hep-th/0203229].

[7] M. J. Duff, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **11** (1996) 5623 [Subnucl. Ser. **34** (1997) 324] [Nucl. Phys. Proc. Suppl. **52** (1997) no.1-2, 314] doi:10.1142/S0217751X96002583 [hep-th/9608117].

[8] T. Banks, W. Fischler, S. H. Shenker and L. Susskind, Phys. Rev. D **55** (1997) 5112 doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.55.5112 [hep-th/9610043].

[9] W. Taylor, Rev. Mod. Phys. **73** (2001) 419 doi:10.1103/RevModPhys.73.419 [hep-th/0101126].

[10] G. W. Gibbons and P. K. Townsend, Phys. Rev. Lett. **71** (1993) 3754 doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.71.3754 [hep-th/9307049].

[11] B. Biran, E. G. F. Floratos and G. K. Savvidy, Phys. Lett. B **198** (1987) 329. doi:10.1016/0370-2693(87)90673-3

[12] E. G. Floratos and G. K. Leontaris, Phys. Lett. B **223** (1989) 153. doi:10.1016/0370-2693(89)90232-3

[13] W. Nahm, Phys. Lett. **90B** (1980) 413. doi:10.1016/0370-2693(80)90961-2

[14] R.S. Ward, Phys. Lett. **B 234** (1990) 81.

[15] S. Kovacs, Y. Sato and H. Shimada, JHEP **1602** (2016) 050 doi:10.1007/JHEP02(2016)050 [arXiv:1508.03367 [hep-th]].

[16] D. Berenstein, E. Dzienkowski and R. Lashof-Regas, JHEP **1508** (2015) 134 doi:10.1007/JHEP08(2015)134 [arXiv:1506.01722 [hep-th]].

[17] R. Dundarer, F. Gursey and H. C. Tze, J. Math. Phys. **25**, 1496 (1984). doi:10.1063/1.526321

[18] E. G. Floratos and G. K. Leontaris, Phys. Lett. B **545** (2002) 190 doi:10.1016/S0370-2693(02)02550-9 [hep-th/0208151].

[19] E. G. Floratos, G. K. Leontaris, A. P. Polychronakos and R. Tzani, Phys. Lett. B **421** (1998) 125 doi:10.1016/S0370-2693(97)01574-8 [hep-th/9711044].

[20] K. Sfetsos, Nucl. Phys. B **629** (2002) 417 doi:10.1016/S0550-3213(02)00132-3 [hep-th/0112117].

[21] E. G. Floratos and A. Kehagias, Phys. Lett. B **427** (1998) 283 doi:10.1016/S0370-2693(98)00340-2 [hep-th/9802107].

[22] E. G. Floratos and G. K. Leontaris, Nucl. Phys. B **512** (1998) 445 doi:10.1016/S0550-3213(97)00775-X [hep-th/9710064].

[23] M. Yamazaki, Phys. Lett. B **670** (2008) 215 doi:10.1016/j.physletb.2008.11.001 [arXiv:0809.1650 [hep-th]].

[24] E. Corrigan, C. Devchand, D. B. Fairlie and J. Nuyts, Nucl. Phys. B **214** (1983) 452. doi:10.1016/0550-3213(83)90244-4

[25] R. Ivanov, Hamiltonian formulation and integrability of a complex symmetric nonlinear system, Phys. Lett. A350, 232-235 (2006)

[26] E. G. Floratos and G. K. Leontaris, in preparation

[27] Joyce, Dominic, Compact manifolds with special holonomy, Oxford University Press on Demand, 2000.
Joyce, Dominic, The exceptional holonomy groups and calibrated geometry, math/0406011 *Lectures given at a conference in Gokova, Turkey, May 2004.*

[28] C. Bachas, J. Hoppe and B. Pioline, JHEP **0107** (2001) 041 doi:10.1088/1126-6708/2001/07/041 [hep-th/0007067].

[29] John H. Conway and Derek A. Smith, "On Quaternions and Qctonions" CRC Press, Taylor and Francis Group, LLC 2003.